

MINUTES OF ORDINARY MEETING OF COUNCIL HELD ON TUESDAY, 20 MAY 2025

- Present: The Mayor, Councillor Christine Kay (Chairperson)
Councillors M Devlin & J Pettett (Comenarra Ward)
Councillors I Balachandran & B Ward (Gordon Ward)
Councillors S Ngai & A Taylor (Roseville Ward)
Councillor M Smith (St Ives Ward)
Councillors C Spencer & K Wheatley (Wahroonga Ward)
- Staff Present: General Manager (David Marshall)
Director Community (Janice Bevan)
Director Corporate (Angela Apostol)
Director Development & Regulation (Michael Miocic)
Director Operations (Peter Lichaa)
Director Strategy & Environment (Andrew Watson)
Corporate Lawyer (Jamie Taylor)
Manager Corporate Communications (Virginia Leafe)
Manager Governance and Corporate Strategy (Christopher M Jones)
Governance Support Officer (Eliza Gilbank-Heim)

The Meeting commenced at 7:00 PM

The Mayor offered the Acknowledgement of Country and Prayer

APOLOGIES

File: S02194

Nil.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The Mayor referred to the necessity for Councillors and staff to declare a Pecuniary Interest/Conflict of Interest in any item on the Business Paper.

Councillor Spencer declared a non-significant, non-pecuniary interest in C.1 Code of Conduct Investigation Report as it directly relates to him. Councillor Spencer will be leaving the room during debate on this item.

CONFIRMATION OF REPORTS AND ATTACHMENTS TO BE CONSIDERED IN CLOSED MEETING

File: S02499/9

Resolved:

(Moved: Councillors Taylor/Wheatley)

That in accordance with the provisions of Section 10 of the Local Government Act 1993, all officers' reports be released to the press and public, with the exception of:

C.1 Code of Conduct Investigation Report

That in accordance with the provisions of Section 10 of the Local Government Act 1993, all officers' reports be released to the press and public, with the exception of confidential attachments to the following General Business Reports:

GB.3 Internal Audit Function Shared Service Agreement

In accordance with 10A(d)(i):

Attachment A1: DRAFT Internal Audit Shared Services Agreement 2025

GB.4 Multicultural Advisory Committee – Appointment of Community Representatives for a New Term

In accordance with 10A(2)(a):

Attachment A1: MCAC 2025 nominations with attachments

Attachment A2: MCAC 2025 Certified Assessment Panel Report Final signed

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES

65

Minutes of Ordinary Meeting of Council

File: EM00046/3

Meeting held 15 April 2025

Minutes numbered 49 to 57

Resolved:

(Moved: Councillors Devlin/Balachandran)

That Minutes numbered 47 to 63 circulated to Councillors were taken as read and confirmed as an accurate record of the proceedings of the Meeting.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MINUTES FROM THE MAYOR

66

Development of a Lighting Policy for Ku-ring-gai

File: EM00046/4

Vide: MM.1

In April 2025, Council hosted a well-attended “Dark Skies” event that highlighted the growing concern in our community about the impacts of artificial lighting on the environment, amenity, and night sky visibility.

Light pollution affects human health, disturbs wildlife (particularly nocturnal species), reduces energy efficiency, limits our ability to experience natural darkness, and costs billions of dollars globally every year through wasted energy. As urban areas grow, the need to manage lighting more carefully becomes increasingly important.

Most light pollution occurs through out-of-date lighting planning and practice. Over-lighting (using more light than needed), non-targeted lighting (lighting areas that do not require it), and broad spectrum lighting (bulbs that project harmful wavelengths of light) make up the bulk of lighting pollution in the urban space and yet they are problems easily managed through appropriate lighting policy.

Light management plans allow for sites to be appropriately lit, without waste or loss of amenity, and lighting shields and non-blue spectrum LED bulbs can keep light where it is needed without allowing it to bleed into our natural spaces or expose our residents and wildlife to harmful wavelengths. Additionally, smart lighting controls with sensors can be used to activate lighting in response to pedestrian and vehicle traffic and lower the level of light pollution at other times. This has the added benefit of reducing energy consumption from lighting. These technologies already exist, are recognised internationally, and cover the full continuum of lighting, from sports field flood lighting to household bulbs.

Many councils have made significant progress in regulating lighting and raising awareness about light pollution, to guide responsible lighting design that balances community safety with environmental and residential impacts. A Ku-ring-gai Lighting Policy would enable the Council to address these challenges in a cohesive and coordinated manner.

Many councils are now adopting lighting policies to guide responsible lighting design, incorporating these practices and technologies to balance community safety with environmental and residential impacts. A Ku-ring-gai Lighting Policy would allow Council to address these issues in a coordinated way.

Given the impacts of light pollution on native wildlife, people, sustainable practice, and cultural connection to the night sky, we propose that council investigate options for developing a comprehensive lighting policy.

Resolved:

(Moved: The Mayor, Councillor Kay)

- A. That Council prepare a Lighting Policy to guide the appropriate use of outdoor lighting in Ku-ring-gai, including:
- i. Consideration of relevant Australian & International Standards such as; AS 2560 Sports lighting, AS/NZS 4282:2019 – *Control of the obtrusive effects of outdoor lighting*; Dark Sky International's *Approved Luminaires Program*;
 - ii. Impacts on residential amenity, public safety, local biodiversity, and night sky visibility;
 - iii. Guidance for both public infrastructure and private development.
- B. That the policy include input from community stakeholders and technical experts and identify opportunities to reduce light pollution across Council-owned assets.
- C. That a draft Policy be reported to Council at the November Ordinary Meeting of Council for consideration and public exhibition.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

67

Night-Time Economy

File: EM00046/4

Vide: MM.2

A Night-Time Economy Action Plan presents a strategic opportunity to enhance our local business environment while providing residents with vibrant and engaging after-hours experiences.

The night-time economy encompasses activities occurring between 6 PM and 6 AM, primarily centred around food and beverage establishments, entertainment venues, and creative spaces. This ecosystem is supported by transportation services and supply chain businesses that enable core night-time activities.

Throughout Sydney, night-time economies generate significant revenue and employment opportunities. These economies foster community connection through dining experiences, cultural events, theatrical performances, live music, and social gatherings.

Across New South Wales, local councils have successfully developed strategic frameworks to support and grow their night-time economies. Notable examples include:

- **Inner West Council**, which received funding under the NSW Government's Uptown Grant Program to support live music venues and improve trading conditions for local businesses.

- **Canterbury-Bankstown Council**, which developed a Night Time Economy Action Plan in consultation with its local businesses and successfully attracted state support for precinct activation.
- **City of Parramatta, Wollongong**, and the **City of Sydney**, which have led the way in implementing precinct-based strategies to grow their after-dark offerings and boost employment.

These examples show the importance of aligning local initiatives with broader state priorities—particularly those of the **NSW Office of the 24-Hour Economy Commissioner**, which has supported councils in fostering safe, vibrant, and inclusive evening precincts.

THE WAY FORWARD

With Ku-ring-gai set to experience population growth through federal and state housing reforms, we must proactively ensure our town centres are welcoming and lively after dark.

An Action Plan with clear objectives and deliverable tasks represents the most effective path forward for Ku-ring-gai. This approach ensures transparent implementation and measurable outcomes.

With Ku-ring-gai projected to welcome many new residents over the next 15 years due to federal and state government housing reforms, we must proactively develop attractive dining, entertainment, and social venues to serve our growing community.

Streamlining processes for businesses establishing bars, restaurants, and events by reducing regulatory barriers where appropriate is essential. This necessitates an internal working party comprising representatives from all Council departments to identify and address challenges facing both new and existing businesses.

Further consultation will involve the Ku-ring-gai Chamber of Commerce, a selected group of food and beverage businesses operating within our area, and neighbouring Councils whose experiences can inform our approach.

Resolved:

(Moved: The Mayor, Councillor Kay)

That Council:

- A. Develop a Night-Time Economy Action Plan to be presented to the Ordinary Council Meeting in August.
- B. Ensure the Action Plan is developed in consultation with the Ku-ring-gai Chamber of Commerce, adjoining Councils, local businesses, and an internal Council working group.
- C. Reach out to the NSW Office of the 24-Hour Economy Commissioner to align with state policy and explore potential grant opportunities and partnerships.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

PETITIONS

68

Residents Feedback About Ku-ring-gai Council's 'Housing Scenarios Consultation'

File: EM00046/4

Vide: PT.1

Petition to Ku-ring-gai Council

Principal petitioner: Michael Willett

We, the residents affected by the Ku-ring-gai Council's "preferred scenario", state that we:

1. Do not support or agree with the "preferred scenario"
2. Do not agree that there has been adequate consultation with affected residents relation to the "preferred scenario"
3. Do not agree that Council has put in place adequate measures for residents affected by the "preferred scenario"
4. Do not agree that Council had adequately considered the Heritage Conservation Area (HCA), streetscape, environmental or traffic considerations which will arise from the "preferred scenario".

(89 signatures)

Petition to Ku-ring-gai Council

Principal petitioner: Michael Willett

We, the residents affected by the Ku-ring-gai Council's "preferred scenario", state that we:

1. Oppose building heights in excess of 12m extending down any further than 2A Bromborough Road, Roseville or further down than 3 Bromborough Road, Roseville.
2. Consistent with what has been applied on the eastern side of Pacific Highway in Roseville, require a transition from any higher density properties to properties in Heritage Conservation Areas (Bromborough Road, Thomas Avenue, Ontario Avenue, Alston Way, Shirley Road and Glen Road).

(86 signatures)

Resolved:*(Moved: Councillors Taylor/Ngai)*

That the petitions be received and referred to the appropriate Officer of Council for attention.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

69 **Concerns regarding Preferred Housing Scenario as an alternative to the original TOD SEPP**

File: EM00046/4

Vide: PT.2

Petition to Ku-ring-gai Council

Principal Petitioner: SJA North Residents

SJA North Residents are expected to be disproportionately disadvantaged from the proposed HOB of Moree South. SJA North Residents request that Council make a minor amendment to the Preferred Housing Plan by changing the Moree South Street from 12 metre HOB limit to 9.5 metre HOB limit. This minor change will ensure that Bullet Point 3 of Principal 5 is satisfied and also Bullet Point 2 of Principal 5 is adhered to.

According to the definitions of R3 and R4 zoning, both allow building heights exceeding 9.5 metres. Therefore, the Moree Street South area must remain zoned as R2, consistent with the adjoining Heritage Conservation Area.

(24 signatures)

Resolved:

(Moved: Councillors Balachandran/Ward)

That the petition be received and referred to the appropriate Officer of Council for attention.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

INSPECTIONS– SETTING OF TIME, DATE AND RENDEZVOUS

Nil.

GENERAL BUSINESS

70 **Minutes of Audit, Risk & Improvement Committee meeting held on 13 March 2025**

File: CY00458/13

Vide: GB.1

To provide Council with the minutes from the Audit, Risk & Improvement Committee meeting held on 13 March 2025 and the FY23/24 ARIC Annual Report.

Resolved:

(Moved: Councillors Taylor/Wheatley)

It is recommended that

- A. The minutes from the Audit, Risk & Improvement Committee meeting held on 13 March 2025 be adopted, and
- B. The FY23/24 ARIC Annual Report be noted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

71 **Heritage Reference Committee meeting minutes of 3 April 2025**

File: CY00413/13

Vide: GB.2

To have Council consider the minutes from previous Heritage Reference Committee ('HRC') meeting held on 3 April 2025.

Resolved:

(Moved: Councillors Taylor/Wheatley)

That Council receive and note the HRC minutes from the meeting held on 3 April 2025.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

72 **Internal Audit Function
Shared Service Agreement**

File: S11192-1

Vide: GB.3

To seek approval for the updated Internal Audit Shared Service Agreement.

Resolved:

(Moved: Councillors Taylor/Wheatley)

It is recommended that the Council:

- A. Note the contents of this report; and
- B. Approve the updated Internal Audit Shared Service Agreement (Attachment

A1) for signing by the General Manager.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

73 **Ku-ring-gai Council Women's Leadership and Inspiration Award: Draft Nomination Guidelines and Selection Criteria**

File: S13033

Vide: GB.5

For Council to consider and endorse the draft Nomination Guidelines and Selection Criteria for the Ku-ring-gai Council Women's Leadership and Inspiration Award.

Resolved:

(Moved: Councillors Taylor/Wheatley)

That Council endorse the draft Nomination Guidelines and Selection Criteria for the Ku-ring-gai Council Women's Leadership and Inspiration Award.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

74 **Investment Report as at 30 April 2025**

File: FY00623/7

Vide: GB.6

To present Council's investment portfolio performance for April 2025.

Resolved:

(Moved: Councillors Taylor/Wheatley)

That:

- A. The summary of investments and performance for April 2025 be received and noted.
- B. The Certificate of the Responsible Accounting Officer be noted and the report adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

75 2024 - 2025 Budget Review - 3rd Quarter ended March 2025

File: FY00701/1

Vide: GB.7

To inform Council of the results of the third quarter budget review of 2024/25 and proposed adjustments to the annual budget based on the actual financial performance and trend for the period 1 July 2024 to 31 March 2025.

Resolved:

(Moved: Councillors Taylor/Wheatley)

That the March 2025 Quarterly Budget Review and the recommended changes be received and noted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

76 Analysis of Land and Environment Court Costs - 3rd Quarter 2024 to 2025

File: FY00623/7

Vide: GB.8

To report legal costs in relation to development appeal matters in the Land and Environment Court for the quarter ended 31 March 2025.

Resolved:

(Moved: Councillors Taylor/Wheatley)

That the analysis of Land and Environment Court costs for the period ended 31 March 2025 be received and noted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

77 Letter of Offer to enter into a Planning Agreement - Rohini Village Turramurra

File: S06198

Vide: GB.9

To advise of the receipt of a letter of offer to enter into a Planning Agreement associated with a Planning Proposal to alter the planning controls for Rohini

Village, owned by Anglicare at 51-53 Rohini Street, Turramurra.

Resolved:

(Moved: Councillors Taylor/Wheatley)

That the letter of offer be noted and that authority be delegated to the General Manager to liaise with the proponents and their solicitors to progress the matter before reporting back to Council.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

MOTIONS OF WHICH DUE NOTICE HAS BEEN GIVEN

78

Rezoning of Public Land at the Royal North Shore Hospital in St Leonards

File: CY00125/17

Vide: NM.1

Notice of Motion from Councillors Ward, Taylor and Balachandran dated 2 May 2025**Rezoning of Public Land**

The rezoning and sale of public land in St Leonards, Sydney, has ignited strong opposition from local residents, healthcare workers, and councils. The New South Wales government's decision to allow a 62-storey residential tower on a 3300-square-metre site has raised concerns about the impact on public infrastructure, healthcare services, and long-term urban planning.

Community Concerns

For years, residents and medical professionals have resisted high-density developments in the area, fearing that such projects will erode public land and put excessive strain on local amenities. Opponents argue that residential towers must account for increased demand on roads, transport, and essential services. Lane Cove, Willoughby, and North Sydney councils have formally called for further government assessment of the project's impact on healthcare demand.

Impact on Healthcare Facilities

A major concern is how the development will affect the Royal North Shore Hospital, one of Sydney's key medical facilities. Healthcare workers worry that the high-rise building will block natural light and create congestion, which could hinder hospital operations. Additionally, increased population density may place further pressure on hospital services, exacerbating existing capacity constraints.

Urban Planning Considerations

Rezoning decisions should prioritize long-term community welfare rather than short-term commercial interests. Transparency, consultation with stakeholders, and a commitment to preserving public assets are crucial in shaping urban growth responsibly. While housing expansion is a pressing need, balancing development with the retention of critical public spaces remains a challenge.

Public Land and the Hospital Precinct

Ku-ring-gai Council shares the concerns of other Northern Sydney councils over the sale of Lot 4B Herbert Street St Leonards, adjacent to Royal North Shore Hospital. The community has long advocated for using this land to expand hospital facilities and improve accessibility, given the hospital's growing patient demands.

The Northern Sydney Local Health District board has stated that the residential development does not align with the hospital's master plan for future expansion. Royal North Shore Hospital is a vital teaching institution that serves an expanding population, requiring adequate space to meet future healthcare needs.

Although housing shortages are a valid issue, selling public land may compromise strategic health planning across the region.

With the NSW Government targeting [\[1\]](#) 44,000 new homes by 2029 within nine local government areas, councils and residents continue to push for public land retention to safeguard essential community infrastructure.

We, therefore, move that Council:

- A. Write to the NSW Minister for Health, the NSW Minister for Planning and Public Spaces, and the Premier of NSW requesting that Lot 4B Herbert Street St Leonards be retained in public ownership for the future expansion of Royal North Shore Hospital.
- B. Join with other Northern Sydney Councils and the Northern Sydney Regional Organisation of Councils (NSROC) in lobbying the State Government to retain public ownership of the Royal North Shore Hospital precinct land.
- C. Support Willoughby Council's request to see the government's modelling of future demand for health services and the hospital's role in addressing this demand.

[1] www.planning.nsw.gov.au/policy-and-legislation/housing/housing-targets

Resolved:

(Moved: Councillors Taylor/Ward)

That the above Notice of Motion as printed be adopted.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

PROCEDURAL MOTION:

(Moved: Councillors Ward/Devlin)

That the order of business be altered to deal with item C.1 Code of Conduct Investigation Report and that item GB.4 be dealt with in confidential session.

For the Motion: *The Mayor, Councillor Kay, Councillors
Balachandran, Devlin, Ngai, Smith, Spencer,
Taylor, Ward and Wheatley*

Against the Motion: *Councillor Pettett*

CARRIED

*Council resolved itself into Closed Meeting
with the Press and Public Excluded to deal with the following item
after a Motion moved by Councillors Taylor and Wheatley
was CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.*

*After declaring an interest in item C. 1, Councillor Spencer withdrew from the meeting prior
to discussion and did not vote on the following matter.*

79 **Code of Conduct Investigation Report**

File: S08331

Vide: C.1

In accordance with the *Local Government Act 1993* and the *Local Government (General) Regulation 2021*, in the opinion of the General Manager, the following business is of a kind as referred to in section 10A(2)(i), of the Act, and should be dealt with in a part of the meeting closed to the public.

Section 10A(2)(i) of the Act permits the meeting to be closed to the public for alleged contraventions of any code of conduct requirements applicable under section 440.

The matter is classified confidential under section 10A(2)(i) because on the basis that the item involves the receipt and discussion of information that would, if disclosed, concern alleged contraventions of code of conduct requirements applicable under section 440 of the Local Government Act 1993, on balance, the public interest in preserving the confidentiality of information outweighs the public interest in maintaining openness and transparency in Council decision-making because the disclosure of this information would contravene the Code of Conduct.

Report by General Manager dated 1 May 2025

MOTION:

(Moved: Councillors Ngai/Ward)

That Council formally censure Councillor Cedric Spencer pursuant to Section 440G of the Local Government Act 1993 on the following grounds:

- A. On or about 30 October 2024, he caused to be published on Facebook content which disclosed confidential information in relation to ongoing and commercially sensitive negotiations between Council and another party, the details of which had been made available to him for a meeting of the Council held on 30 October 2024 and which was closed to the public pursuant to section 10A of the Act. Such publication was a deliberate and intentional act of Cr Spencer which was undertaken contrary to the obligations of councillors as set out in clauses 8.9d), 8.10, 8.11b), c), d) and g) of Part 8 of Council's Code of Conduct without regard to the prejudicial effect that such publication may have on the commercially sensitive negotiations which were on foot between Council and another party.
- B. Cr Spencer acted without regard to the prejudicial effect which publication of the Facebook comment may cause to Council and indirectly to ratepayers within Council's local government area and that in so acting, the Respondent engaged in conduct which was contrary to Part 3 clause 3.1a), b) and c) and to Part 8 clauses 8.9d), 8.10, 8.11b), c), d) and g) of Council's Code of Conduct.

Councillor Wheatley left and returned to Chambers during debate on this item.

AMENDMENT:

(Moved: Councillors Smith/Taylor)

That Council formally censure Councillor Cedric Spencer pursuant to Section 440G of the *Local Government Act 1993* on the following grounds:

- A. On or about 30 October 2024, he caused to be published on Facebook, a social media platform the Post, the substance of which disclosed confidential information in relation to ongoing and commercially sensitive negotiations between Council and another party, the details of which had been made available to him in a meeting of the Council held on 30 October 2024 and which was closed to the public pursuant to section 10A of the Act. Such publication was prejudicial to the commercial and financial interests of Council and was a deliberate and intentional act of Cr Spencer which was undertaken contrary to the obligations of councillors as set out in clauses 8.9b) and d), 8.10, 8.11a), b), c), d) and g) of Part 8 of Council's Code of Conduct without regard to the prejudicial effect that such publication may have on the commercially sensitive negotiations which were on foot between Council and another party.
- B. Publication of the Post by Cr Spencer was prejudicial to the commercial and financial interests of Council and ratepayers and was a deliberate and intentional act of Cr Spencer which was undertaken contrary to the obligations of the councillors as set out in clauses 8.9b) and d), 8.10, 8.11 a), b), c), d) and g) of Part 8 of Council's Code of Conduct without regard to

the prejudicial effect that such publication may have on the commercially sensitive negotiations which were on foot.

- C. Cr Spencer acted without regard to the prejudicial effect which publication of the post may cause to Council and indirectly to ratepayers within Council's local government area and that in so acting, the Respondent engaged in conduct which was contrary to Part 3 clause 3.1a), b) and c) and to Part 8 clauses 8.9b) and d), 8.10, 8.11a), b), c), d) and g) of Council's Code of Conduct.

The Amendment was put and declared **CARRIED**.

For the Amendment: *The Mayor, Councillor Kay, Councillors Balachandran, Devlin, Smith, Taylor, Ward and Wheatley*

Against the Amendment: *Councillors Ngai and Pettett*

CARRIED

The Motion was put and declared **CARRIED**.

For the Motion: *The Mayor, Councillor Kay, Councillors Balachandran, Devlin, Smith, Taylor, Ward and Wheatley*

Against the Motion: *Councillors Ngai and Pettett*

CARRIED

RESOLVED:

(Moved: Councillors Smith/Taylor)

That Council formally censure Councillor Cedric Spencer pursuant to Section 440G of the *Local Government Act 1993* on the following grounds:

- A. On or about 30 October 2024, he caused to be published on Facebook, a social media platform the Post, the substance of which disclosed confidential information in relation to ongoing and commercially sensitive negotiations between Council and another party, the details of which had been made available to him in a meeting of the Council held on 30 October 2024 and which was closed to the public pursuant to section 10A of the Act. Such publication was prejudicial to the commercial and financial interests of Council and was a deliberate and intentional act of Cr Spencer which was undertaken contrary to the obligations of councillors as set out in clauses 8.9b) and d), 8.10, 8.11a), b), c), d) and g) of Part 8 of Council's Code of Conduct without regard to the prejudicial effect that such publication may have on the commercially sensitive negotiations which were on foot between Council and another party.
- B. Publication of the Post by Cr Spencer was prejudicial to the commercial and financial interests of Council and ratepayers and was a deliberate and

intentional act of Cr Spencer which was undertaken contrary to the obligations of the councillors as set out in clauses 8.9b) and d), 8.10, 8.11 a), b), c), d) and g) of Part 8 of Council's Code of Conduct without regard to the prejudicial effect that such publication may have on the commercially sensitive negotiations which were on foot.

- C. Cr Spencer acted without regard to the prejudicial effect which publication of the post may cause to Council and indirectly to ratepayers within Council's local government area and that in so acting, the Respondent engaged in conduct which was contrary to Part 3 clause 3.1a), b) and c) and to Part 8 clauses 8.9b) and d), 8.10, 8.11a), b), c), d) and g) of Council's Code of Conduct.

For the Resolution:

*The Mayor, Councillor Kay, Councillors
Balachandran, Devlin, Smith, Taylor,
Ward and Wheatley*

Against the Resolution:

Councillors Ngai and Pettett

CARRIED

80

Multicultural Advisory Committee - Appointment of Community Representatives for a new term

File: S04141

Vide: GB.4

To provide Council with recommendations for community representatives to be appointed to the Multicultural Advisory Committee for a new term.

MOTION:

(Moved: Councillors Ward/Smith)

It is recommended that:

- A. Council consider and appoint 10 community applicants.
- B. That Council consider and appoint the first three and last six of the recommended applicants from the selection panel for the Multicultural Advisory Committee as noted on page 4 of the Assessment Panel's Report (Attachment 2).
- C. That the Council consider and appoint the first applicant on the reserve list of the selection panel.
- D. That Council appoints the five remaining recommended applicants as

reserves for the Multicultural Advisory Committee.

AMENDMENT:

(Moved: Councillors Devlin/Taylor)

- A. That Council consider and appoint the 10 community applicants who have been recommended by the selection panel to the Multicultural Advisory Committee.
- B. That Council consider increasing the number of appointed community members from 10 to 15 to increase representation, and to better align membership numbers with other Council advisory committees.
- C. That should recommendation B be supported, Council consider and appoint an additional 5 community applicants who have been recommended by the selection panel as reserves, in order of their listing, to the Multicultural Advisory Committee.
- D. That Council appoint the 1 remaining recommended applicant as a reserve for the Multicultural Advisory Committee.

The Amendment was put and declared **CARRIED**.

For the Amendment: *The Mayor, Councillor Kay, Councillors
Balachandran, Devlin, Pettett, Smith and
Taylor*

Against the Amendment: *Councillors Ngai, Ward and Wheatley*

CARRIED

The Motion was put and declared **CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY**.

Resolved:

(Moved: Councillors Devlin/Taylor)

- A. That Council consider and appoint the 10 community applicants who have been recommended by the selection panel to the Multicultural Advisory Committee.
- B. That Council consider increasing the number of appointed community members from 10 to 15 to increase representation, and to better align membership numbers with other Council advisory committees.
- C. That should recommendation B be supported, Council consider and appoint an additional 5 community applicants who have been recommended by the selection panel as reserves, in order of their listing, to the Multicultural Advisory Committee.

- D. That Council appoint the 1 remaining recommended applicant as a reserve for the Multicultural Advisory Committee.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Council resolved to return to Open Council after a motion moved by Councillors Taylor and Smith was CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

BUSINESS WITHOUT NOTICE – SUBJECT TO CLAUSE 9.3 OF CODE OF MEETING PRACTICE

Nil.

QUESTIONS WITH NOTICE

Nil.

The Meeting closed at 8:23pm.

The Minutes of the Ordinary Meeting of Council held on 20 May 2025 (Pages 1 - 18) were confirmed as a full and accurate record of proceedings on <Insert confirmation date ...>.

General Manager

Mayor / Chairperson